

### C. Signature [\[HELP\]](#)

The above answers are true and complete to the best of my knowledge. I understand that the lead agency is relying on them to make its decision.

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Name of signee \_\_\_\_\_

Position and Agency/Organization \_\_\_\_\_

Date Submitted: \_\_\_\_\_

### D. Supplemental sheet for nonproject actions [\[HELP\]](#)

(IT IS NOT NECESSARY to use this sheet for project actions)

Because these questions are very general, it may be helpful to read them in conjunction with the list of the elements of the environment.

When answering these questions, be aware of the extent the proposal, or the types of activities likely to result from the proposal, would affect the item at a greater intensity or at a faster rate than if the proposal were not implemented. Respond briefly and in general terms.

1. How would the proposal be likely to increase discharge to water; emissions to air; production, storage, or release of toxic or hazardous substances; or production of noise?

The higher density residential development with the zoning change would result in more impervious area for roads, parking, and roofs which would increase stormwater runoff. It would also result in more vehicle trips which would increase emissions. No increases to other noted items are anticipated by the change in density.

Proposed measures to avoid or reduce such increases are:

Runoff will be collected and infiltrated on site in accordance with requirements of the Stormwater Management Manual of Eastern Washington. No measures are proposed to reduce or avoid increases from vehicle emissions. During construction, contractor will need to provide erosion and sediment control measures.

2. How would the proposal be likely to affect plants, animals, fish, or marine life?

The zoning change would not impact plants, animals, fish, or marine life. Development itself will cause clearing of land, but that is the same regardless of zoning.

Proposed measures to protect or conserve plants, animals, fish, or marine life are:

None

3. How would the proposal be likely to deplete energy or natural resources?

No

Proposed measures to protect or conserve energy and natural resources are:

None other than following the Energy Code.

4. How would the proposal be likely to use or affect environmentally sensitive areas or areas designated (or eligible or under study) for governmental protection; such as parks, wilderness, wild and scenic rivers, threatened or endangered species habitat, historic or cultural sites, wetlands, floodplains, or prime farmlands?

No use or affect

Proposed measures to protect such resources or to avoid or reduce impacts are:

None

5. How would the proposal be likely to affect land and shoreline use, including whether it would allow or encourage land or shoreline uses incompatible with existing plans?

No affect

Proposed measures to avoid or reduce shoreline and land use impacts are:

None

6. How would the proposal be likely to increase demands on transportation or public services and utilities?

The zoning change would increase residential density, which would lead to more demands on the transportation system, public services, and utilities.

Proposed measures to reduce or respond to such demand(s) are:

Developer will have to follow City's Municipal Code for payment of any impact fees and making improvements to the road or utilities necessary for the development itself. Additionally, development will increase property taxes and residents would pay utility charges for services.

7. Identify, if possible, whether the proposal may conflict with local, state, or federal laws or requirements for the protection of the environment.

No conflicts anticipated.